

DISPUTE RESOLUTION SCENARIO IN TELECOM AND BROADCASTING SECTORS

MANJUL BAJPAI CHENNAI – 30.08.2008



ESTABLISHMENT OF TELECOM DISPUTES SETTLEMENT AND APPELLATE TRIBUNAL

January 2000 - most significant development
 Separation of Regulatory and Adjudicatory functions
 TDSAT was established for settling telecom disputes
 In January 2004 TDSAT was empowered to settle disputes in cable and broadcasting sectors also

TDSAT is Court of first instance

- It has exclusive jurisdiction over telecom matters
- It's powers are very wide can settle "any dispute in telecom Sector
 TDSAT has two kinds of jurisdiction –
 Original Jurisdiction
 Appellate Jurisdiction

Original Jurisdiction - person related

1st - Licensor Licencee dispute
 2nd - Two or more Service providers

- Telephony between BSNL / MTNL and private operators - mostly relate to interconnection issues
- Cable Operators, MSOs and Broadcasters disputes – mostly relate to interconnection issues

- In telecom law emanates from a mix of TDSAT's Judgements and TRAI's Regulations, apart from other sources
- □ Broadcaster must provide its TV channels to MSOs
- □ MSO must provide its TV channels to Cable Operators
- Defaulter in payment not entitled to benefit of Must Provide Clause
- □ Signals Seeker to negotiate with supplier of signals
- □ In case of dispute Signals Seeker to approach TDSAT
- □ Terms of contract should be reasonable
- Reasonableness to be decided by an authorised forum like TDSAT and not by any single party to the contract.
- □ Cable Operators, MSOs and Broadcasters are "Service Providers"
- No Exclusive Contract

✤ 3rd -Service Providers and Group of Consumers.

- Individual consumer must approach Forums like
 Consumer Forums
- Two or more persons Group can approach TDSAT

✓ Appellate Jurisdiction

against all orders, decisions and directions of TRAI

CPC not to apply - Regulate its own procedure

- Simple proceedings
- Flexibility to mould its own procedures

Principles of Natural Justice apply

- TDSAT hears both the parties patiently
- Reasonably balanced order
- **Orders executable as decrees of Civil Court**

Power to review

- Limited power
- Can file a Review on discovery of new evidence
- Mistake apparent on the face of the record
- Other sufficient reason

Civil Court's jurisdiction is barred

- No Civil Court
- No TRAI
- No Arbitrator.
- State High Court Writ Jurisdiction
 TDSAT has exclusive jurisdiction
 Jurisdiction over competition issues
 - Can entertain disputes arising out of TRAI Act or decisions in Telecom, Broadcasting and Cable sectors, even if such decisions incidentally trench upon the subject of UTP, RTP or MTP

Appeals lie directly to Supreme Court on questions of law

- Earlier four layered system
- Now only two layered system
- Speedy Disposal
- Most cases in cable and broadcasting sector issues are factual
- TDSAT may be the first and last court.

No appeal against interim orders of TDSAT

SOME SUGGESTIONS

- To maintain the advantages of a Specialised Tribunal, continuity in the knowledge and expertise gained during litigation needs to be passed on to the succeeding Chairperson and Members
- To avoid plethora of litigation, important aspects of telecom issues should be codified with clarity to avoid ambiguity and uncertainty
- And my suggestion to Cable and Broadcasting Industry is to accept each other's presence and role, keeping in mind and recognizing historical developments, while recognizing the new technologies and new scope for provision of services, all in the interest of consumers at large.

